

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,521	06/28/2001	Hani El-Gebaly	10559-493001	8098
75	90 06/05/2006	EXAMINER		
Kevin A. Reif		JEAN GILLES, JUDE		
c/o BLAKELY,	, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP		
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Seventh Floor		2143		
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025	DATE MAIL FD: 06/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/896,5	521	EL-GEBALY ET	EL-GEBALY ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			ean-Gilles	2143				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. drory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNI vent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MON plication to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	` ,			
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 February 20	206					
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	<u>-</u> - -	,	,				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10-14,17-21 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠								
′=	Claim(s) <u>6,8,9,15,16,22,23 and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
		on and/or election	requirement.	• ,				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	he correction is requ	red if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner. N	lote the attache	d Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		· ,					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			· ·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		n received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the Internation	· ·						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	lified copies not	t received.				
Attachmen	t(s)		•	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	10/58/08)	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	10-102)			

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is in regards to the Appeal Brief received on 02/27/2006.

Response to Appeal Brief

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed on 02/27/2006. Claims 1-30 are pending, of which claims 1, 12, 21 and 25 are independent. No new claims have been added. Claims 1-30 represent a method and apparatus for a "Distributed Multipoint Conference".
- 2. The appeal proceedings requested by Appellants, their Legal Representatives and the Assignee have been withdrawn for the following reasons:

New Prior art of Fabybishenko et al (hereinafter Fabybishenko), US patent No. 6,934,702 B2 in combination with Falck et al (hereinafter Falck), U.S. Patent No. 6,360,265 B1 disclose most limitations of the claimed invention.

The foregoing rejections render moot the appellants' points of contentions as spelled out in the Appeal Brief.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 22, 23, and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-14, 17-21, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fabybishenko, in view of Falck.

Regarding claim 1: Fabybishenko teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Fabybishenko discloses a method comprising:

establishing a connection between a plurality of endpoints, including at least a requesting endpoint and one or more other participating endpoints (column 35, lines 35-47);

initiating a connection from the requesting endpoint to at least a third endpoint, the requesting endpoint identifying to the third endpoint the one or more other participating endpoints (column 35, lines 35-57); however, Fabybishenko does not disclose "a third endpoint directly establishing a connection between itself—the one or more other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint, the third endpoint identifying the requesting endpoint to the one or more other participating endpoints".

In the same field of endeavor, Falck discloses a method that "...illustrates the establishment of a second TCP connection between the H.323 multimedia terminal (102) and the H.323 multimedia server (110, 112 or 114) for the exchange of H.245

Art Unit: 2143

protocol messaging. As shown in step 500, the H.323 multimedia terminal (102) assigns a third TCP port corresponding to the H.323 multi-media terminal (102). A SYN message is sent from the H.323 multi-media terminal (102) to the H.323 multi-media server (110, 112 or 114)..." [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Falck's teachings of a a third endpoint directly to establish a connection between itself and the one or more other participating endpoints, with the teachings of Fabybishenko, for the purpose of "...providing the network with a distributed information discovery platform that enables discovery of information from distributed information providers..." as stated by Fabybishenko lines 14-20 of column 1. By this rationale claim 1 is rejected.

Regarding claim 2: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 1 in which the connections between endpoints comprise connections that support unicast streams [see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 14-34].

Regarding claim 3: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising at each of the endpoints, mixing streams received from each of the other endpoints to form a logical conference [see Falck; column 1, lines 29-43].

Regarding claim 4: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising, in response to the initiation of the connection from the requesting endpoint, establishing a connection from the third endpoint to the requesting endpoint [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 5: the Combination Fabybishenko-Falck teaches the method of claim 1, but fails to disclose a method in which initiating a connection comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that includes an identity of the one or more other participating endpoints [see Falck, column 5, lines 1-10].

Regarding claim 7: The combination of Fabybishenko-Falck teaches the method of claim 1 in which establishing the connection between the third endpoint and the one or more other participating endpoints comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that includes an identity of the requesting endpoint [see Falck, column 5, lines 1-10].

Regarding claim 10: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 1 in which the initiating and establishing are repeated to form an N-way conference, where N is an integer greater than three [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 11: The combination of Fabybishenko-Falck teaches the method of claim 1, in which initiating a connection to a third endpoint is performed in response to input received from a user of an Internet Protocol telephony application [see Falck, column 1, lines 29-43; column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 12: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses a method of facilitating a multipoint conference among three or more endpoints, the method comprising:

receiving from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint, the invitation identifying one or

Art Unit: 2143

more other participating endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47); and

directly sending to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint(see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-57); and

directly sending to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 13: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising, in response to receiving an invitation from the requesting endpoint, establishing a connection with the requesting endpoint (see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47).

Regarding claim 14: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 13 in which establishing the connection with the requesting endpoint is order independent from sending invitations to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint (see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47)

Regarding claim 17: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising, in response to sending invitations to the other participating endpoints, receiving from each of the other participating endpoints information establishing a connection [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 18: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising mixing a plurality of unicast streams received

Art Unit: 2143

from the inviting and other participating endpoints to form a logical conference [see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 14-34].

Regarding claim 19: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 18 in which the plurality of unicast streams include voice data or video data or both [see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 14-34].

Regarding claim 20: The combination of Fabybishenko-Falck teaches the method of claim 12, in which the receiving and sending are performed by an Internet Protocol telephony application [see Falck, column 1, lines 29-43; column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 21: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses a machine-accessible medium including instructions that, when executed, cause a machine to:

directly receive from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47);

directly establish a connection with the requesting endpoint(see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47);

directly send to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48];

directly receive from each of the other endpoints information establishing a connection[see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48]; and

mix a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other endpoints to form a logical conference [see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 14-34].

Regarding claim 24: The combination of Fabybishenko-Falck teaches the machine accessible medium of claim 21 in which the instructions are performed by an Internet Protocol telephony application [see Falck, column 1, lines 29-43; column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 25: Hirni teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Hirni discloses a system comprising:

a user interface configured to receive from a user of the application input identifying one or more endpoints to be called to form a conference and to present a plurality of media streams to the user in a format that suggests inter-relatedness of the streams; and

H.323 protocol support for performing the following Internet Protocol (IP) telephony operations:

- (i) receive from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47);
- (ii) establish a connection with the requesting endpoint (see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 35-47);

- (iii) send to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48];
- (iv) receive from each of the other endpoints information establishing a connection [see Falck, column 7, lines 34-48]; and
- (v) mix a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other endpoints to form a logical conference [see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 14-34].

Regarding claim 26: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the application of claim 25 wherein the application comprises a client application configured to be executed on a computer system associated with the user, the client configured to communicate with a remote server application to provide the user with IP telephony functionality [see Falck, column 1, lines 29-43; column 7, lines 34-48].

Regarding claim 27: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the application of claim 25 wherein, if two or more of the unicast streams comprise audio information, the user interface is configured to overlay the audio streams to suggest inter-relatedness [see Fabybishenko; column 35, lines 14-34].

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

6. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJ@5

May 29, 2006

DAVIDWILEY

Page 10

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100